

CLASSROOM ACTIVITIES

ACTIVITY I

LET'S MAKE AN IMPACT CRATER

by Len Sharp

INTRODUCTION:

Approximately 65 million years ago, at the end of the Cretaceous Period of the Mesozoic Era, a 10 km asteroid (bolide) came crashing into Earth's surface at an angle of about 20-30° (Schultz, 1996) and at an estimated velocity of 54,000 km/hr (15 km/sec) (compared to about 39,400 km/hr (9.0 km/sec) for the space shuttle) creating an impact crater with a 180 km diameter. The ejecta blanket extended for thousands of kilometers from ground zero. NOTE: The material, found in the Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) core recovered during Leg 171B, was brought up from the ocean's depths 1,920 km from Chicxulub Crater. Recently, similar tektite material was found in a core from a site at Bass River State Park, New Jersey which is even further away from Chicxulub. Debris from the impact, thrown many kilometers upward into Earth's atmosphere, took many years to fall earthward. Due to weathering and erosion processes, the crater is buried several kilometers beneath the surface of the present-day region, known as the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico, at 21°20'N, 89°30'W.

KEY TERMS:

- meteorite
- ejecta blanket
- central peak (generally in craters over 40 km)
- bolide
- rays
- Leg
- core
- walls
- impact crater
- floor
- weathering
- asteroid
- ramparts
- erosion

PURPOSE:

Describe and analyze the features created by a simulated meteorite impact.

MATERIALS:

- large aluminum pan (roasting pan)
- flour
- colored sands (aquarium stores)
- sand/ox sand (fine-grained)
- colored tile grout or mortar mix
- several minerals or rocks, approximately 2-4 cm, such as: galena, pyrite, magnetite, basalt, limestone, etc. (ball bearings, glass marbles, etc. may be substituted)
- wooden paint stirrers (acquire at local paint store, cut to fit the width of the aluminum pan)
- metric ruler or tape
- triple beam balance
- newspapers or plastic drop sheet
- safety goggles
- OPTIONAL: Polaroid camera and film, flashlight or some other light source. A video camera set on slow motion is also a helpful means of analyzing simulated crater impacts.

PROCEDURE:

1. Create several Meteorite Evaluation Teams (METs) to produce and analyze impact craters.
2. Spread newspapers beneath the aluminum pan.
3. With a felt tip marker, measure one centimeter intervals along one side of the aluminum pan.
4. Place 4 or 5 cm of flour into the bottom of the large aluminum pan. Smooth the flour with the edge of your wooden stick.
5. Gently spread colored tile grout, mortar mix or colored sand over the flour to a depth of about 1 cm. A flour sifter works nicely to evenly distribute topmost layer onto flour.
6. Select one of the minerals or rocks (or an appropriate substitute) provided by your teacher.
 - Mass the object.
 - Find volume of the object.
 - Describe object's shape.
7. Drop the object(s) from a measured distance of 90, 40, 60, 80, and 100 cm onto the simulated "crustal" material.
8. Construct a chart for your impact data.
9. Diagram both a top and side view of the impact crater produced by each drop. (If a Polaroid camera is available, take a photo from directly above or at an angle. Also, use a video camera to record craters of all the METs.) Compare and contrast METs results collectively.

ACTIVITIES:

1. Describe the pre-impact material and compare/contrast with the post-impact features. Label the parts of the crater and compare to an actual crater's photo. (Check out the following web site: online.anu.edu.au/physics/nineplanets/meteorites.html) Does your simulated "crater" have all of the features represented in a crater of 40 km or larger? Discuss your answer.
2. Compare your results with other METs. Write a brief summary of the METS discussion.
3. Select any one of the following variables and discuss how they could possibly change the shape, size and depth of your simulated crater. NOTE: The teacher may want to assign each METS another variable concerning the bolide's impact site: petrology, mass, shape, composition (nickel-iron, stony, gaseous, etc.), velocity and/or land versus water impact. Each METS would be responsible for sharing their findings with the rest of the class.
4. METS devise a plan that could help track, as well as, possibly destroy and/or alter the course of potentially catastrophic meteorite impacts on present-day Earth. Do you believe that such a governmental agency now exists? Can you verify your answer? (Check out the films Deep Impact and Armageddon to be released in May and July of 1998.)
5. Search the Internet for information concerning asteroids and/or comets that come very close to Earth's orbit. Compile a list of the potentially dangerous "bolides" with their respective orbital data. (Check out NSTAs Craters, 1995.) (Web sites: <http://ccct.arc.nasa.gov/> or www.online.anu.edu.au/physics/nineplanets/meteorites.html)
6. Why is it difficult to find ample evidence of bolide impacts on Earth's surface? NOTE: Teacher may want to use a fan to gently blow across a simulated crater's surface and/or a salt shaker with water to lightly sprinkle water on simulated crater to illustrate weathering and erosion.
7. Compare and contrast the following impact craters. (To obtain photos of the craters check out the following web sites: <http://diamond.ge.ic.ac.uk/j003/j003web/chico.html> or <http://online.anu.edu.au/physics/nineplanets/meteorites.html>)
 - Barringer's Crater, Arizona, USA
 - Tycho Crater, Moon
 - Xbtu Crater, Mars
 - Chicxulub Crater, Yucatan Peninsula, MexicoWhy do these craters have different features associated with their respective impact sites? Does Barringer Crater or Tycho Crater resemble Chicxulub? Why do you think so?
8. How could you tell the difference between an impact and a volcanic crater using only a topographic map? (e.g., Barringer's Crater, Arizona, versus Mt. St. Helens)
9. Diagram, label and analyze both a top and side view of the impact crater produced by each drop. If a digital camera is available, take a series of photos from a variety of different angles, including a picture from overhead. Try a digital video camera to record size, shape, path and crater impact characteristics. Using digital technology is an ideal method for storing images into a computer for future use by the METs to create computerized "slide shows." Compare and contrast METS results collectively. (If digital technology is not available, consider using a Polaroid camera and/or a video camera.)

REFERENCES:

- Greeley, R., Impact Cratering (adapted from the NASA Workbook, Activities in Planetary Geology), The Universe in the Classroom - A Newsletter on the Teaching of Astronomy, 33, Astronomical Society of the Pacific, San Francisco, Spring 1993.
- Shultz, P. and D'Hondt, S., Cretaceous-Tertiary (Chicxulub) impact angle and consequences, *Geology*, 94, 963-967, 1996.
- Taylor, G., Martel, L., Editors, Exploring the Moon: A Teacher's Guide with Activities for Earth and Space Sciences, 147, NASA, Office of Human Resources and Education, EP-306, Sept. 1994.

THE OCEAN DRILLING PROGRAM:

EXPLORING OUR DYNAMIC EARTH THROUGH SCIENTIFIC OCEAN DRILLING

The Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) is an international partnership of scientists and research institutions organized to explore the evolution and structure of Earth. ODP provides researchers around the world access to a vast repository of geological and environmental information recorded far below the ocean surface in seafloor sediments and rocks. By studying ODP data we gain a better understanding of Earth's past, present, and future.

The drill ship, JOIDES Resolution (pronounced 'joy-deez'), is the centerpiece of the Ocean Drilling Program. With this ship, ODP can drill cores — long cylinders of sediment and rock — in water depths up to 8.2 km. Built in 1978 in Halifax, Nova Scotia, the drill ship was originally a conventional oil-drilling ship. She was refitted in 1984 and is now equipped with some of the world's finest shipboard laboratories. The ship's complement for each cruise is a mixture of 30 scientists from around the world, 90 engineers and technicians, and a crew (including drilling personnel) of 52.

Each year JOIDES Resolution departs on six scientific expeditions approximately two months in length. Every expedition has specific scientific goals chosen through a careful review process. The research ship has drilled in the Atlantic, Pacific, Indian, and Arctic oceans, including north of the Arctic and south of the Antarctic circles. Since January 1985, ODP has recovered more than 160,000 m of cores. Upon completion of an expedition, the cores are transported to one of four repositories for curation, storage, and future research. ODP scientists are able to use these repositories much as the general public uses a library.

ODP is sponsored by the U.S. National Science Foundation and international members.

SAMPLING THE SEAFLOOR

At any time during the day or night, operations aboard JOIDES Resolution are interrupted by the cry, "Core on deck!" With that summons, crew, technicians, and scientists rush to the drilling deck as a 9.5-meter section of ocean sediment or rock is hoisted from the water. Carefully, they carry the plastic-sheathed cylinder to the first of many shipboard laboratories in which the core will be studied.

A precise routine ensures that the core will be marked with its original location on the seafloor, coded to distinguish top from bottom, measured, and cut into smaller sections for study and storage. Each section of the core is sliced lengthwise. One half is used for nondestructive analyses before being stored in the ODP repositories. The other half is sampled by scientists seeking to reconstruct another chapter in Earth's history.

Within minutes, scientists in JOIDES Resolution's seven levels of laboratories begin to analyze the core. No aspect of the core is overlooked. Paleontologists examine microfossils in the cores to determine the age of the material. Other scientists measure physical properties such as density, strength, and ability to conduct heat. Paleomagnetists use state-of-the-art equipment to read the record of Earth's magnetic field changes — information that helps determine the ages and latitudes at which rocks were formed. The challenging process of interpretation has just begun.

Each 9.5-meter section is only a fraction of the entire length of core that will be extracted from each hole, so this procedure is repeated many times. The scene aboard JOIDES Resolution is far removed from the normal routines in researchers' land-based laboratories. Though exhausting, scientists relish the opportunity to work aboard this "floating university."

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON ODP SCIENCE:

More information on the Ocean Drilling Program is available from: Ocean Drilling Program, Joint Oceanographic Institutions, 1755 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20036-2102, e-mail: info@oiscience.org, phone: (202) 232-3900, or visit the web site at www.oceandrilling.org

OTHER RESOURCES:

ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THE POSTER:

Additional copies of this poster are available from: Ocean Drilling Program, Joint Oceanographic Institutions, 1755 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20036-2102, e-mail: info@oiscience.org, phone: (202) 232-3900.

EDUCATIONAL CD-ROMS:

The JOIUS Science Support Program's interactive, educational CD-ROMs are intended to bring scientific results of the Ocean Drilling Program into the classroom. In "ODP: From Mountains to Monsoons," the student is invited to join a scientific ocean drilling expedition to the Indian Ocean to investigate the hypothesis that there is a link between the uplift of the Himalayan Mountains and the intensification of the monsoons in Southern Asia. During the program, sediment cores from three sites on the ocean floor are analyzed. At each site, the student works with real scientists in a variety of virtual shipboard laboratories and then discusses the results with the Chief Scientist. Throughout the program the student learns about tools and techniques used by geoscientists and how to combine results from laboratory analyses into a viable theory.

The second CD-ROM, "ODP: Gateways to Glaciation," which has been developed for high school and undergraduate earth science classes, uses ODP data to explore the closing of the Panama gateway as one of the possible triggers of northern hemisphere glaciation about 3.6 million years ago. Using real ODP data in virtual shipboard laboratories, students can analyze sedimentological and isotopic evidence for glaciation within the sediments, date the glacial onset through paleomagnetism and micropaleontology labs, and explore evidence for Milankovitch cycles within the core.

Copies of both CD-ROMs are available free of charge from: U.S. Science Support Program, Joint Oceanographic Institutions, 1755 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20036-2102, e-mail: info@oiscience.org, phone: (202) 232-3900. Accompanying teacher's manuals for "ODP: From Mountains to Monsoons" and "Gateways to Glaciation" are available on the JOI web site: www.joi-odp.org/USSSP/CurrEdu/Curriculum.html.

ACTIVITY II

JUST HOW BIG WAS THE BLAST THAT CAUSED THE DINOSAURS TO BECOME EXTINCT?

by Len Sharp

INTRODUCTION:

This gargantuan crater in Mexico is known as Chicxulub (21°20'N, 89°30'W). Just imagine the sound and extent of the explosion that resulted from the impact! The bolide's force of impact has been estimated conservatively at about 108 megatons. Within a very small amount of time, a dust and debris pall (cloud) was violently ejected onto the surrounding existing landscapes with all of the indigenous life-forms that were present at that time. At the same instant, dust was thrown upward into Earth's atmosphere. This great cloud of dust was distributed throughout Earth's atmosphere. It has been estimated that almost 50%-80% of the plant and animal species present, before the impact, became extinct including the dinosaurs! Just imagine what an impact of the Chicxulub magnitude would do to a heavily populated area on present-day Earth's surface!

KEY TERMS:

- meteorite impact
- Chicxulub
- bolide
- asteroid
- impact crater
- scale
- extinction
- model
- dust cloud

PURPOSE:

The students will be able to visualize the scale the Chicxulub asteroid impact as related to their own geographic environs using topographic maps and/or road maps.

MATERIALS:

- road maps of the students' country, state or local area
- world map (preferably large wall map)
- piece of 8.5 x 11.0 plastic sheet
- compass
- transparency markers (red, yellow,...)
- scissors
- 30 cm ruler
- world atlas

PROCEDURE:

1. Using the scale of the given map, draw a circle on the plastic sheet with a diameter of 1,900 km.
2. Draw a circle with a scaled diameter of 180 km within the center of the first 1,900 km circle.
3. Color the inner circle with a light-colored transparency marker.
4. Carefully cut out the larger circle from the plastic sheet.
5. Find your town, school if possible, and allow the center of the town or your school to be "ground zero" of a bolide impact with the size of the Chicxulub event (65 million years ago). Place the center of the plastic sheet at "ground zero." The outer circle of your "impact" blanket, equal to the distance of the Chicxulub Crater to the site of the "Blast from the Past" core.
6. Sketch the outline of both circles onto your map.
7. On an appropriately scaled map of the USA and/or of the world, follow steps 1-6 for U.S. cities such as:
 - New York City
 - Atlanta
 - Phoenix
 - London
 - Mexico City
 - Miami
 - Chicago
 - Seattle
 - Paris
 - Buenos Aires
 - Honolulu
 - Cairo
 - Tokyo
 - New Orleans
 - Singapore

THINGS TO DO:

1. What major population centers are within the "impact area?" Approximately how many people would be affected by the crater alone? If the ejecta blanket extends outward for 9,000 km, what areas around your hometown would be affected? How many people in the "extended area" of the impact are going to be affected? CONSIDER: What would happen if the bolide struck an area in the middle of the North Pacific or North Atlantic oceans?
2. Cooperative Learning Exercise (role playing): Develop an "Emergency Preparedness Plan" for the safe evacuation of the population from an area predicted to be impacted by a large meteor. Create several "City Councils" representing cities of various sizes, such as: student's hometown, New York, NY, Helena, MT, Little Rock, AK, Flagstaff, AZ, Astoria, OR, etc. Develop an Emergency Preparedness Plan suitable for their city. NOTE TO THE TEACHER: Have the Student Civil Defense Teams (SCDTS) make a scale model of Chicxulub Crater's diameter as described in Activity I and place on an appropriate scale map to observe the area that is going to be impacted. Each City Council should have at least the following individuals:
 - mayor
 - police chief
 - doctor
 - fire chief
 - astronomer
 - psychologist
 - media representative
 - "average" citizen
3. With two or three other students, devise a plan that could help in the tracking as well as possibly destroy and/or alter course of potentially catastrophic meteorite impacts on present-day Earth. (<http://ccct.arc.nasa.gov/>)
4. Search the Internet for information concerning asteroids and/or comets that come very close to Earth's orbit. Compile a list of the potentially dangerous "bolides" with their respective orbital data. In your opinion, based upon the data you collected, what are the chances of Earth being struck by a meteor and/or comet in the near future that nearly equals the Chicxulub Crater on the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico? (Check out the following web site and its similar links: www.lpl.arizona.edu/spacewatch/)
5. Research the Shoemaker-Levy Comet's disruption and ultimate collision with the surface of Jupiter.
 - A. What were some of the results of the Shoemaker-Levy impacts on the Jovian atmosphere and surface?
 - B. What do you speculate would have happened if the Shoemaker-Levy "bolides" had struck Earth?
 - C. Is it possible that an event such as the Shoemaker-Levy comet could ever threaten Earth? If so, how frequently may such a collision take place?
 - D. What could be done if a Shoemaker-Levy incident was predicted to strike Earth?

SUGGESTED READING/MEDIA LISTS:

RELATED ARTICLES TO CHICXULUB, BOLIDE IMPACTS AND EXTINCTIONS

1. Alvarez, L., Alvarez, W., Asaro, F., and Michel, H., Extraterrestrial causes for the Cretaceous/Tertiary extinction, *Science*, 208, 1095-1108, 1980.
2. Alvarez, W., Asaro, F., Michel, H., and Alvarez, L., Iridium anomaly synchronous with terminal Eocene extinctions, *Science*, 216, 886-888, 1982.
3. Bice, D., Newton, C., McCauley, S., Reiners, P., Shocked quartz at the Triassic-Jurassic boundary in Italy, *Science*, 255, 443-446, 1992.
4. Blum, J., Chamberlain, C., Hingston, M., Koebel, C., Marin, L., Schuratz, B., and Sharpton, V., Isotopic comparisons of KT boundary impact glass with melt-rock from the Chicxulub and Manson impact structures, *Nature*, 364, 325-327, 1993.
5. Bohor, B., Shocked quartz and more: Impact signatures in Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary clays, in *Global catastrophes in Earth History: an interdisciplinary approach* conference on impact, volcanism and mass mortality, Sharpton V. and Ward P., Eds., *Geol. Soc. Amer. Spec. Paper*, 247, 335-342, 1990.
6. Boslough, M., Chael, E., Trucano, T., Crawford, D., and Campbell, D., Axial focusing of impact energy in the Earth's interior: A possible link to flood basalts and hot spots, *Geol. Soc. of Amer. Special Paper*, 307, 541-550, 1996.
7. Bourgeois, J., Hensen, T., Wilberg, P., and Kauffman E., A tsunami deposit at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in Texas, *Science*, 263, 567-570, 1998.
8. Brett, C., Stress: Life in the balance, *Geotimes*, AGI, 18-20, Mar. 1995.
9. Broad, W., New Proof of Asteroids Devastation, *New York Times*, Feb. 16, 1997.
10. Carey, S., Sigurdsson, H., and D'Hondt, S., Stratigraphy and sedimentology of the KT boundary deposit in Haiti, 24th Lunar Planet Sci. Conf., 251-252, 1993.
11. Catherine, M., What killed the dinosaurs?, *Earth in Space*, AGU, 5-7, Dec. 1993.
12. Chyba, C., Death from the sky, *Astronomy*, 21, 38-45, 1993.
13. Claeys, P., Alvarez, W., Smit, J., Hildebrand, A., and Montanari, A., KT boundary impact glasses from the Gulf of Mexico region, (summary) 24th Lunar Planet Sci. Conf., 297-298, 1993.
14. French, B., 25 years of impact-volcanic controversy: Is there anything new under the sun or inside the earth? *Eos Trans. AGU*, 71, 411-414, 1990.
15. Glass, B., Tektites and micrometeorites: key facts and interrelationships, *Tectonophysics*, 171, 393-404, 1990.
16. Glen, W., Observations on the mass-extinctions debates, *Geol. Soc. of Amer. Paper*, 307, 39-54, 1996.
17. Gould, S., An Asteroid to Die For, *Discover*, 60, Oct. 1989.
18. Grieve, R., The impact cratering rate in recent time, in *Proceedings 14th Lunar and Planetary Science Conf.*, J. Geophys. Res., 69, 8403-8408, 1964.
19. Hildebrand, A., Penfield, G., Hing, D., Pilkington, M., Camargo, Z., Jacobson, S., and Boynton, W., Chicxulub Crater: a possible Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary impact crater on the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico, *Geology*, 19, 867-871, 1991.
20. Jablonski, D., Progress at the K-T Boundary, *Nature*, 387, 354-355, May 2, 1997.
21. Kauffman, E. and Erwin, D., Surviving mass extinctions, *Geotimes*, AGI, 14-17, Mar. 1995.
22. Ken, R., Cores document ancient catastrophe, *Science*, 275, 1265, Feb. 28, 1997.
23. Kye, F., Zhou, L., and Wasson, J., New evidence on the size and possible effects of a late Pliocene oceanic asteroid impact, *Science*, 241, 63-65, 1988.
24. Kring, D., Dimensions of the Chicxulub impact crater and impact melt sheet, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 16769-16986, 1995.
25. McArdle, H., Craters in the Classroom, The Science Teacher, National Science Teachers Association, 28-31, November 1997.
26. Mestel, R., New Angle on a Killer, *Earth*, 6/2, 92-94, April 1997.
27. Meyerhoff, A., Lyons, J., and Officer, C., Chicxulub structure: a volcanic sequence of late Cretaceous age, *Geology*, 22, 3-4, 1994.
28. Monastersky, R., Craters and extinctions: Time of reckoning, *Science News*, 152, 71, Aug. 2, 1997.
29. Morrison, D., The Spaceguard Survey: Report of the NASA International Near-Earth-Object Detection Workshop, JPL/CI, 1992.
30. Morrison, D. and Chapman, C., Target Earth: It Will Happen, *News & Telescope*, 261, Mar. 1990.
31. O'Keefe, J. and Ahrens, T., Impact production of CO₂ by the Cretaceous-Tertiary extinction bolide and the resultant heating of the Earth, *Nature*, 338, 247-249, 1989.
32. Pilkington, M., Hildebrand, A., and Ortiz-Aleman, C., Gravity and magnetic field modeling and structure of the Chicxulub crater, Mexico, *Geophys. Res. Jpn.*, 13, 147-13, 162, 1994.
33. Pope, K., Occampo, A., and Duller, C., Surficial geology of the Chicxulub impact crater, Yucatan, Mexico, *Earth Moon Planets*, 65, 93-104, 1993.
34. Pope, K., Occampo, A., Kinsland, G., and Smith, R., Surface expression of the Chicxulub crater, *Geology*, 24, 527-530, 1996.
35. Sharpton, V., Exploring the K-T source crater: Progress and future prospects, *Eos*, 76, 534, 1995.
36. Rezer, P., Experts find fatal asteroid clue, *The Los Angeles Times*, Feb. 15, 1997.
37. Sharpton, V., Dalrymple, G., Marin, L., Ryker, G., Schuratz, B., and Urrutia, F., New links between Chicxulub impact structure and the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary, *Nature*, 359, 639B, 619-621, 1992.
38. Sharpton, V., Burke, K., Camargo, Z., Hill, S., Lee, D., Marin, L., Suarez, R., Quezada, M., Spudis, P., and Urrutia, F., Chicxulub multi-ring impact basin: Size and other characteristics derived from gravity analysis, *Science*, 261, 1564-1567, 1993.
39. Sigurdsson, H., D'Hondt, S., and Carey, S., The impact of the Cretaceous/Tertiary bolide on evaporite terrane and generation of major sulphuric acid aerosols, *Earth Planet Sci. Lett.*, 109, 543-559, 1992.
40. Shultz, P. and D'Hondt, S., Cretaceous-Tertiary (Chicxulub) impact angle and consequences, *Geology*, 94, 963-967, 1996.
41. Silver, L. and Schultz, P., editors, Geological implications of impacts of large asteroids and comets on the Earth, *Geol. Soc. of Amer. Special Paper*, 190, 138, 1982.
42. Smit, J., Reop, T., Alvarez, W., Claeys, P., and Montanari, A., Deposition of channel deposits near the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in northeastern Mexico: Catastrophic or normal sedimentary? and... is there evidence for Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary-age deep-water deposits in the Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico? Comment, *Geology*, 22, 953-954, 1994.
43. Taylor, G. and Martel, L., editors, Exploring the moon: A Teacher's guide with activities for earth and space sciences, 147, NASA, Office of Human Resources and Education, EP-306, Sept. 1994.
44. Toon, O. and Zahnle, K., All impacts great and small, *Geotimes*, AGI, 21-23, Mar. 1995.
45. ODP Leg 171B Shipboard Scientific Party, Critical boundaries in Earth's History and the K-T Boundary, JOIDES Journal, 23/1, 1997.

BOOKS

1. Alvarez, W., T. Rex and the Crater of Doom, Princeton University Press, 1997.
2. Hartmann, W. with Cain J., Craters: A Multi-Science Approach to Cratering and Impacts, NSTA, Special Publications, Arlington, VA, 1995.
3. Melosh, H., Impact Cratering - A Geologic Process, OUP, Oxford, 245, 1989.

FILMS

1. Asteroid, not rated, Davis Entertainment/Video, Live Entertainment, NBC Enterprises, 120 mins, made for TV, 1997.
2. The Death of the Dinosaurs, PBS Home Video, Turner Home Entertainment, 60 mins, 1994.
3. Meteor, rated PG, An American International Pictures Release, Orion Pictures Corporation, Goodtimes Home Video, 103 mins, 1979.
4. Deep Impact, Paramount Pictures and DreamWorks Pictures, PG-13, May 1998.
5. Armageddon, Touchstone Pictures, 151 minutes, PG-13, July 1998.

SELECTED NEWSLETTERS

1. "Collision with Asteroids and Comets," Strassenburgh Planetarium Information Bulletin, 21, Rochester Museum & Science Center, Feb. 1997.
2. "Cosmic Collision," American Astronomical Society, The Universe in the Classroom, 23, 1993.
3. "The Probability of Collisions with Earth," NASA, Fact Sheet, June 17, 1994.

PRESS RELEASES AND ARTICLES

1. "Apocalypse Past," *Bartelme*, T., The Post and Courier, Charleston, S.C., February 15, 1997.
2. "Blast From the Past," New Smithsonian Exhibition Links Ancient Asteroid Collision to Dinosaur Extinction, National Museum of Natural History, June 1997.
3. "Experts Find Fatal Asteroid Clue," *Rezer*, P., The Los Angeles Times, February 16, 1997.
4. "Evidence of Meteorite Impact Found Beneath Seafloor," *Ocean Drilling Program*, February 10, 1997.
5. "Ocean Samples Suggest Asteroid Killed Dinosaurs," Associated Press, Washington D.C., February 17, 1997.
6. "Scientists Report New Results from Caribbean Sea Expedition," National Science Foundation (PR-96-9), March 14, 1996.
7. "New Proof of Asteroids Devastation," *Broad*, W., New York Times, February 18, 1997.

ACTIVITY III

"THE BLAST FROM THE PAST" LEAVES A RECORD OF A MAJOR CATASTROPHE IN THE ATLANTIC OCEAN:

Making a scaled model of the Ocean Drilling Program Leg 171B core

by Len Sharp

INTRODUCTION:

The previous activities have focused on "what surface features does a meteorite impact produce" and "how big was the crater and surrounding ejecta blanket?" During the winter of 1996-97, the Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) research vessel, JOIDES Resolution, retrieved sediments from beneath the Atlantic's seafloor. Embodied within the 130 m of core from Leg 171B, at the 112 meter level, was an approximately 17 cm layer of greenish sedimentary material (tektites and bolide remains). The ODP Leg 171B core contains by far the most convincing evidence that there was a huge meteorite (bolide) impact in the region 65 million years ago. This asteroid is believed to have been the deadly messenger from space that brought about the extinction of all the dinosaurs and an estimated 50-80% of other Cretaceous species. Please note the several distinct layers easily distinguishable in the sediment section that is referred to below as the "ODP Leg 171B core."

KEY TERMS:

- core
- strata
- leg
- species
- extinction
- sediments
- foraminifera
- ooze
- iridium
- Cretaceous

PURPOSE:

Interdisciplinary scientific Core Analysis Teams (CATS) composed of students will construct, collect and collate data, as well as, analyze a stratigraphic model of the "Blast from the Past" ODP Leg 171B core. NOTE: The transition from "normal" ocean sediment layers to the tektite-rich and iridium anomaly layers (strata) coincides with the mass extinction of numerous Late Cretaceous microfossil species. In the ODP Leg 171B core the transition layer was discovered between 112-113 m below the seafloor. The transition between layers is known as the KT (Cretaceous/Tertiary) boundary.

TEACHER PREP:

OPTION:

- Teacher may pre-measure material for students to slowly pour into plastic columns/tubes which are attached to ring stands.
- Assign four students per stratigraphic column.
- Or, teacher may desire to setup one "Blast from the Past" stratigraphic column per four students in order to save time.

MATERIALS:

- 81 cm plastic column with a 3.0 cm aperture (Wards cat.no.3644191)
- ring stand
- clamps
- No. 8 rubber stopper
- metric ruler
- magic marker
- map of the Gulf of Mexico, Caribbean Sea and North Atlantic area
- hand lens
- very fine white playground sand
- coarse green sand or small gravel (aquarium store)
- gray or tan-colored sand (aquarium or craft store)
- fine brownish-color sand (craft store)
- four 500 ml beakers
- alternatives to sand: clay, jello, small jelly beans (various sizes, etc.)

PROCEDURE:

1. Teacher marks the side of a plastic column at the given sediment thicknesses below.
 - A. Before the arrival of the students, use a graduated cylinder to pour the appropriate amounts of colored sands into column to the measured thickness of each respective sediment.
 - B. Or, pre-measure the amounts of colored sand per given layer and have the students gently pour sands into the column. Be sure there are no visible markings on the outside of the plastic column.

GEOLOGIC EVENT	SEDIMENT THICKNESS	MODEL COLOR
Pre-extinction Cretaceous layer (bottom)	90 cm	white
Tektite and meteor debris layer	17 cm	green
Iridium anomaly and surviving species from the Cretaceous Period	4 cm</	